

September 18, 2002

-- REPLACEMENT AND ADDENDUM TO MINUTES --

FOR

TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002

Attached is a replacement for pages 1393 through page 1397. This is to correct the format in State Bar disciplinary entries. Page 1397-A is added in order to maintain the page numbers of succeeding minutes (August 21-August 29) where there are no State Bar disciplinary entries.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

date, only four further extensions totaling 238 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S104157

G026525 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Three

HAMEID v. NATIONAL FIRE INSURANCE
Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a consolidated answer brief in response to the amicus briefs filed on behalf of respondent is extended to and including August 23, 2002.

S107318

A095890 First Appellate District,
Division Three

PEOPLE v. BOWERS
Counsel appointment order filed

First District Appellate Project to represent appellant.

S107720

H021985 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DELEON
Counsel appointment order filed

Catherine White is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending before this court.

S102062

OPPENHEIM ON DISCIPLINE
Order filed

Having been notified by the State Bar that **JOHN MORRIS OPPENHEIM, State Bar # 67038**, was deceased as of September 13, 2001, the order of discipline filed on January 17, 2002, is vacated nunc pro tunc.

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S107295

FRANKLIN ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **SIDNEY FRANKLIN, JR., State Bar No. 37135**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on January 16, 2002, as modified by its order filed February 27, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2003 and 2004. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

S107298

KING ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **ROY RAY KING, State Bar No. 61726**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on February 6, 2002. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S107390

BRACHFELD ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **MARTIN BRACHFELD, State Bar No. 81548**, be suspended from the practice of law for three months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on January 10, 2002, as modified by its order filed February 27, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v.*

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S107399

DICKERSON ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **CHARLES E. DICKERSON III, State Bar No. 92590**, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on January 16, 2002, as modified by its order filed February 27, 2002. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Cost are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S107405

PEEPLES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **H. E. CHRISTIAN PEEPLES, State Bar No. 83928**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. **H. E. Christian Peebles** is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed April 4, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S108774

MUSTAFA, RESIGNATION OF

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending.

The voluntary resignation of **JOHN WALI MUSTAFA, State Bar No. 171355**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S108963

SHERMAN ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending.

The voluntary resignation of **SHELDON DAVID SHERMAN, State Bar No. 72635**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)